



Vendor Exchange Forum (VXF)

11 – 13 February 2014

BES Portfolio Efficiencies: Contract Execution and Application Integration

Tuesday, 11 February 2014

0730 – 0815	Registration	Auditorium	Ms. Kabel
0815 – 0915	Small Business Forum-(Policy Updates, Goals, and Opportunities)	Auditorium	Ms. Baylor
0915– 0945	Break	Bldg. 892 Lobby	
0945 – 1200	Small Business Match Maker (NETCENTS-2 Primes with App Services, Products & SB Companion)	Assigned Rooms	
1200 – 1330	Lunch (1hr 30mins)		
1330 - 1530	Special Topics/Workshop 1 Problem Statement #1 NETCENTS-2 Lesson Learned RFI Discussion	Auditorium	Acquisition Support Office Contracting Judge Advocate Engineering NETCENTS Ms. Charisse Stokes Mr. Jon Dittmer

Wednesday, 12 February 2014

0730 – 0830	Registration/Check-In	Auditorium	Ms. Kabel
0830 – 0835	Administrative Announcements	Auditorium	2Lt Sullivan
0835 – 0930	Opening Remarks & Overview	Auditorium	Mr. Shofner & Mr. Warren
0930 – 0945	Small Business Administration (SBA) Overview	Auditorium	Ms. Baylor
0945 – 1000	JA Highlights	Auditorium	Lt. Col Crawford
1000 – 1015	Break	Bldg. 892 Lobby	
1015 - 1030	NETCENTS 2 Status	Auditorium	Mr. Smothers
1030 - 1200	Panel 1 Problem Statement 2 – Common Processes	Auditorium	Mr. William Kramer – HIB Mr. Toy Robinson – HI Mr. Joe Besselman Mr. Joe Defee
1200 - 1330	Lunch (1 ½ hrs)		



Wednesday, 12 February 2014 (continued)

1330 – 1500	Panel 2 Problem Statement 3 – Automatic Test	Auditorium	Mr. Todd Tasseff – HIA Mr. James Wilson – HIAR Mr. Bill Woodhouse Mr. Andrew Pollner
-------------	---	------------	---

Thursday, 13 February 2014

Contact BES Strat Comm team for available time slots

AFPEO.EIS.HIP.Vendor@us.af.mil

0745 - 1015	Vendor Capabilities Presentations	Assigned Rooms
1015 - 1130	Vendor Capabilities Presentations	Assigned Rooms
1130 – 1245	Lunch (1hr 15 mins)	
1300 – 1600	Vendor Capabilities Presentations	Assigned Rooms

***Contractor attendance is at the discretion of the presenting vendor**

VENDOR EXCHANGE SYLLABUS

The outline below provides content that will be discussed during the Special Topics, Vendor Forum, and Workshop presentations scheduled during the upcoming Vendor Exchange Forum. As with past exchanges, we have also developed three problem statements and associated solution opportunities to help prepare and focus your capabilities demonstrations.

TUESDAY, Feb 2014

Problem Statement #1:

Scenario: The AF Business and Enterprise Systems portfolio has several applications that are faced with having one investment-funded team (development contractor) add new mission functionality while a separate O&M-funded team (sustainment contractor) sustains and operates the application. There is increased likelihood that the two contracted teams may be modifying the same configuration items within the same source code baseline.

Question 1: What specific contract requirements, terms, and conditions are recommended for BES new development and sustainment contracts to govern the interaction between the two contracted teams?

Question 2: How should the contract specify mediation in the assignment of responsibility for a Deficiency Report where both contracted teams may have made changes in the code released into production?

Question 3: Are there Industry contractual provisions/contract practices that provide more enforcement “teeth” beyond the traditional Associate Contractor Agreement?

Problem Statement #2:

Scenario: The AF Business and Enterprise System application portfolio contains dozens of applications that share common processes for managing different classes of AF assets. This suggests that several applications could be merged/consolidated to share the common (best of breed) processes while retaining those business rules peculiar to a given asset class. **For example:** CAS, IMDS, ILS-S all perform asset tracking but maintain independent code and technical baselines

Question 1: What are the industry best practices, tools, and techniques that could be used to produce a composite application derived from multiple code baselines that result in a single application having a common user interface, navigation structure, and database?

Question 2: Provide real-world cost estimating relationship that can be used in PEO BES cost estimates as we develop acquisition strategies for potential consolidation of portfolio capabilities.



TUESDAY, Feb 2014 (continued)

Problem Statement Topic #3:

Scenario: The AF Business and Enterprise System application portfolio contains dozens of applications that have a long history of manual testing that is proving to be expensive and very time consuming when used to validate the impact of change (application, infrastructure services, and network services).

Solution: Please provide draft contractual language that Industry would recommend we add to our development and sustainment contracts to incentivize automated test coverage of existing BES applications.

Solution: If you are an existing BES sustainment contractor feel free to provide an impact assessment to your current contract (e.g., contract modifications would be required, etc.)

Please address the role that open-source test tools play in this scenario for unit, functional, and performance testing. Identify the tools that you use the most for your non-BES application customers

