

JUNE 19, 2013 VID NOTES: [Vendor Industry Day Presentations](#):

Stock Control System:

- SCS is impacted by the Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness requirements. SCS has identified 18 specific requirements derived from accounting conformance requirements and is working with their functional to specifically identify those requirements. The intent is to include those requirements in a follow-on acquisition and become a development program.

Questions:

1. With the work be done onsite or offsite?

- The contractors will be located at a contractor facility. Requiring the vendor to be within a 50-mile radius because some of the work is done on Wright Patterson due to a lot of peer reviews with the vendor.

2. What type of mainframe?

- IBM mainframe.

3. What languages?

- Cobol, JCL, IDL, Assembler, CICS and Fortran.

4. What type of database?

- Datacom and Oracle

5. How many people have been required in the past?

- SCS program office does not have the number of contractors required for the work at this time.

6. Will a bidders' library be published?

- SCS will have a tech data package that will be provided with a solicitation. SCS program office will be providing all the stats and system details and system documentation for the vendors to use with their proposals.

7. Will SCS be doing a draft RFP?

- It's not planned but it can be looked into. It was suggested to be done.

8. Do all the interfaces go into the mainframe or do they split off into the mainframe and mid-tier architectures?

- The interfaces are split

9. What language is on the mid-tier?

- C++, CSS, HTML, IDL, Java, Java Script, NT Batch, SQL, Text Data, Unix Shell, Visual Basic, XML. This will all be in the tech data package.

Combat Ammunition System (CAS):

- March 2013 released an RFI to the NETCENTS-2 vendors for our tech refresh efforts with includes FIAR requirements. This will allow CAS to satisfy existence and completeness as well as assist the Air Force in achieving financial auditable statements by FY15.
- CAS' original code was PAC-based Cobol (1.0 code), was converted into Java, which is still in production today and married to CAS' 2.0 code developed against the Java2EE standards.

Questions:

1. Why don't we just put this information into Supply and turn CAS off?

- Supply does not have the capability of CAS. CAS maintains a 98 percent accuracy rate with its munitions inventory.

2. Could you talk a little bit about the SDDP process?

- SDDP is the Services Development and Deliveries Process. IPT Team of munitions experts (global ACP, ACC, CAS' functional advocate out of the pentagon, and CAS) came together to walk through this very long but very beneficial process.

Step 1: Performance Reference Model (PRM). This outlines the statement of need (problem statement) and overall justification for the need.

Step 2: Business Reference Model. The product is the .mil PF (GAP analysis that identifies the critical areas and whether the solution is a material solution or a non-material solution).

3. If you are going to reissue the RFI, is it going to be the original to a wider audience or will the updated RFI have comments incorporated for the entire group?

- CAS' intent is to have all the comments included into the draft RFP, not an RFI. If you want access to what is out, contact Margie Johnson. Otherwise wait for the release of the draft RFP.

4. Clarification of Scope: is there an existing CAS sustainment contract that will run concurrent with this opportunity. Or is this to be the only CAS contract?

- This contract will be CAS' development contract, which will have level III sustainment and CAS anticipates a Subject Matter Expert contract for functional expertise as well as level two support.

5. All sustainment of CAS will be included in this contract?

- Yes

6. Can you give us an estimate of the longest amount of time it takes to get something fixed as opposed to the mean or average time it takes to get things fixed?

- Our standard release process. CAS goes through iterations of development and testing. CIE maintains their development and integration zones. But an actual timeframe cannot be given because each release is unique. It takes a while to go through the release process and the majority of CAS' time is spent accomplishing testing. Because of the initial design of the code, one change in a certain area could impact an area that CAS did not expect. CAS makes the functionals go through a 100 percent test through the actual phase of each iteration.

Integration Maintenance Data System Central Database (IMDS DB):

- IMDS DB has approximately 240,000 users.
- IMDS DB customer is Air Force A4.
- IMDS DB is looking for ways to decrease its infrastructure costs and cost sustainment.
- IMDS DB is on a Unisys Platform which has created some cost challenges.
- IMDS DB is trying to figure out what it would take to migrate off the Unisys Platform.
- IMDS DB program office is looking to start the dialogue to find out what options are available to help decrease the program's costs.

Questions:

1. Would IMDS DB program office make migrating off the Unisys platform part of their RFP?

- IMDS DB PMO is more in the market research phase. But the intent is for an RFI and RFP to provide information. Which might make offering up a solution to the Unisys Platform migration part of the competition.

2. Is IMDS DB technology the same as SCS.

- IMDS DB is mainframe logic is Cobol 85.

3. Why don't we have a common mainframe Cobol strategy across all programs instead of treating all individual programs separately?

- IMDS DB is gathering information. That may be part of a larger integration strategy.

4. When do you think you will have enough information for an RFI/draft RFP?

- We have some information as far as what we want to put into a draft PWS. IMDS DB program office expects to have a draft RFP out in two to three months.

ACES FoS:

- ACES consists of four separate systems: ACES/IWIMS, Real Property, Fire Department, Explosive Ordnance Disposal
- The majority of the ACES Family of Systems is slated to be subsumed by NextGen. But there is still a need to sustain the systems until NextGen is available to take over once they go FOC.
- The EOD and FD will remain as part of ACES.
- All of IWIMS is slated to subsumed by NextGen.

Questions:

1. Does the cost estimate assume NextGen will turn off some of the ACES capabilities?

- Yes it assumes a lot of ACES and IWIMS will be turned off by NextGen. FOC for NextGen right now is FY15.

2. Who is managing NextGen?

- NextGen is managed out of A7

3. Who is the ACES FoS incumbent?

- General Dynamics IT

4. Is the contract just sustainment or is upgrading the modules included?

- For ACES and IWIMS is just sustainment. The program office is still working with the contractor to determine requirements for FD and EOD. There will likely be additional requirements for FD and EOD.

5. When would you start pushing out a draft RFP?

- By the end of the calendar year 2013.

DCAPES:

- Sustainment and development are with CSC
- Operational baseline is version 42.22. 42.22 delivered half of the requirements to decouple with JOPES, the joint systems DCAPES interfaces with.
- The requirement will also include LOGFAC sustainment and long-term sustainment.
- DCAPES is nearing the point of having an approved strategy, a strategy has not been approved yet. The grouping of the requirements could change as DCAPES works towards a finalized strategy.

- DCAPES has developed a government-hosted environment within the CIE. DCAPES is looking to have a contractor manage that environment as well as provide compliance verification and functionality. If this is put out as a separate contract, there will be potential organizational conflicts of interest (OCIs) with that contract and the other work DCAPES has with the sustainment and the development contracts. NETCENTS-2 Application Services Small Business will be used to compete these contracts.

Questions:

1. Will the contracts for the business intelligence tool or update include sustainment and/or infrastructure?

- That is a decision yet to be made. One idea is to have it separate and treat it an independent refresh effort that would be done in a very quick manner keeping it completely separated from other efforts that are going on so that DCAPES get any schedule interdependencies. From a technical perspective the program office thinks it can avoid that and field the capability. But there are pros and cons that go along with that.

2. Does the \$70M dollar value include the total effort for all the DCAPES efforts?

- Yes

3. Could you explain the OCI issues between infrastructure support and rest?

- With the Infrastructure Support, DCAPES is having to verify compliance and stands. We want some independence from what DCAPES has in the sustainment and the patches that are being delivered, the versions that are being delivered and capability being delivered from the developer.

4. Is there a basis to not use NETCENTS for the Infrastructure Support as a part of your OCI mitigation?

- This might be an issue of timing for mitigating the OCI. Not sure whether Infrastructure should go out first or if a larger effort goes out first. This is why the program office is letting everyone know up front that if you are awarded this portion of DCAPES, you cannot compete for other portions because of the conflict of interest. DCAPES is under a mandate for NETCENTS-2, so the program office would have to go in a request the waiver to work around the NETCENTS-2 vehicle. As the program office works through their acquisition strategy, it will keep in mind to potentially do that.

ARMS:

- ARMS has been around for more than 50 years.
- ARMS does not currently have a contractor. Prior to this ARMS had a systemic contract with ESA. Soon, ARMS will look to do another sustainment contract with a November 2013 expected award date. This is expected to be an 8(a) award.
- In the first qtr FY14, ARMS is looking to award a development contract. ARMS is just now getting the requirements for this development effort.

Question:

1. What are the development requirements?

- ARMS program office is still awaiting all of them. They do know that one requirement is a new flying hour module, a flight database, web services for all ARMS interface partners, a formal training module and a simulator module.

2. How will adding the modules impact the TIMS and GTIMS system?

- Currently TIMS and GTIMS are our interface partners. ARMS is not looking to incorporate anything TIMS and GTIMS are doing. ARMS has a sandbox set up and allow its interface partners to see and test what ARMS is doing to ensure it doesn't break anything.

3. Since the work on ARMS is currently not under contract, why go 8(a) versus NETCENTS-2?

- The reason ARMS is not under contract is the program lost its funding due to its customer went with other options. This year, ARMS operated using organic resources. However, ARMS has the same sustainment requirement, which was already in the 8(a) program.

AFECMO:

- AFECMO is now developing a standard desktop configuration using the Windows 8 OS
- This effort is providing the IT architecture support to assist in developing standard desktop capabilities
- In addition to this effort supporting the Air Force (600,000 clients), AFECMO has developed a server-based image which is on about 10,000 servers across the Air Force

Questions:

No questions

SEAMLS Information Assurance:

- It began as an effort to set up six BPAs for information assurance products and support that would be open to the entire federal government. The scope has grown to include the Federal Strategic Sourcing initiative, who provides overarching oversight for this effort
- Establishing information assurance BPAs to cover seven categories of IA software (malware protection, firewall vulnerability, assessment analysis, intrusion detection prevention, security information and event management, storage management, and insider threat)
- Six or more IA BPAs will be the single strategic sourcing vehicles for the entire federal government.
- A data call out across all federal agencies due back by the end of June 2013. The data call will be analyzed to see if the \$480M is an accurate dollar value of the BPAs.
- This is currently a small business strategy
- The SEAMLS program office is performing marking research to see if there is significant presence in small business out there that can support this IA effort across the entire federal government

Questions:

1. What exactly does information assurance products mean? Virus protection?

- SEAMLS is looking for products that perform malware protection, software products on their firewall, vulnerability assessment, and so on. One of the big differences is we are including the insider threat software as well. Which is a new effort across the DoD.

2. Would SEAMLS consider companies who would offer their products for free?

- DoD ESI would be very cautious about accepting any free software products. There are BPAs in place, using GSA schedules and the products we use have to be on GSA schedules before a BPA can be put in place. Freeware can bring security issues.

C3I&N AF-IPPS IV&V:

- This effort is the continuation of an effort performed previously for AF-IPPS which focused on pre-development activities and processes
- This effort is AF-IPPS development stage
- This will ensure the change management plan that was defined in the earlier IV&V is being followed
- However the main focus on the current effort is system engineering-type activities
- The requirements have been broken out via a draft MOA signed between AF-IPPS and the C3I&N-Gunter office that defines the actual IV&V activities that will be provided. This was used to create a draft PWS which is available now
- The anticipated length of the period of performance to be somewhere in the range of 4 years depending on AF-IPPS development stage
- Dollar value is likely to be kept under \$4M
- FFP to include labor hours
- With a program assessment such as this, we tend to find more of the program issues up front. The level of effort tends to drop over time. One of the things the program office is asking for is suggestions, recommendations, past experience on how to adjust the level of effort throughout a four-year period to ensure the level of support the program office needs without paying for more than what is needed.
- The program office is looking for past experience with DoD ERPs.
- This will be an 8(a) award. However not decided whether or not it will be competitive or direct.
- The program office is fairly open to distributed locations for the support for the contract. But support should not have ties to the AF-IPPS program office. The reviewer should be separate from the AF-IPPS program.

Questions:

1. Is an RFI already out?

- The program office has not decided whether or not they will release an RFI.